UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,228	11/09/2006	David Earl Leger	59652-5005	2787
JEFFER, MANGELS, BUTLER & MARMARO, LLP 1900 AVENUE OF THE STARS, 7TH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			COOLMAN, VAUGHN	
LOS ANGELES, CA 90067			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/586,228	LEGER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	VAUGHN T. COOLMAN	3618
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 Ju</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.9 and 10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2-8 and 11 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ access that any objection to the objection may not request that any objection to the objection.	relection requirement. r. epted or b)□ objected to by the B	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20070907.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 10 recites the limitations "the kicker members" and "the handle" in lines 3 and 4, respectively. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Puckett (U.S. Patent No. 3,722,904).

[claim 1] Puckett discloses a foldable wheelbarrow comprising:

- a frame comprising:
- a wheel mounting assembly having a pair of handles (52, 54) and a kicker, the kicker (14, 56, 58) having a pair of interconnected longitudinally-extending members (56, 58) each rotatably

connected to an associated handle such that the handles are rotatable between handle erected and handle folded positions;

a leg assembly (44, 48) having a pair of interconnected longitudinally-extending support members each rotatably connected to the kicker and rotatable between leg erected and leg folded positions;

locking means (66) for locking the handles in the erected position;

at least one frame support member (46, 50) each rotatably connected to one handle and to the leg assembly, such that rotation of the connected handle causes the leg assembly to rotate relative to the wheel mounting assembly and locking the handle in the handle erected position causes the leg assembly to lock in the leg erected position;

a wheel (28) rotatably mounted to the wheel mounting assembly; and

a foldable container mounted to the frame such that the container is erected when the leg assembly is in the leg erected position (FIG 1), and folded when the leg assembly is in the leg folded position (FIG 8).

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Smith et al (U.S. Patent No. 3,997,213).

[claim 9] Smith discloses a foldable hand cart comprising:

a frame comprising a wheel mounting assembly having a handle portion (16) with a pair of interconnected longitudinally-extending members (14, 15) and a wheel mounting portion with a pair of interconnected longitudinally extending members (20, 21), each wheel mounting member rotatably connected to an associated handle member such that the handle portion is rotatable between handle erected and handle folded positions;

a leg assembly having a pair of interconnected longitudinally-extending support members (30, 31) each rotatably connected to the wheel mounting portion and rotatable between leg erected and leg folded positions;

Page 4

locking means (contact of item 32 to items 36) for locking the handle portion in the erected position;

at least one frame support member (36) each rotatably connected to handle portion and to the leg assembly, such that rotation of the handle portion causes the leg assembly to rotate relative to the wheel mounting assembly and locking the handle portion in the handle erected position causes the leg assembly to lock in the leg erected position;

a pair of wheels (24, 25) rotatably mounted to the wheel mounting portion; and a foldable container (17, 35) mounted to the frame such that the container is erected when the leg assembly is in the leg erected position, and folded when the leg assembly is in the leg folded position.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-8 and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 10 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Please see attached form PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to VAUGHN T. COOLMAN whose telephone number is (571)272-6014. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 8am-6pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Ellis can be reached on (571) 272-6914. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Christopher P Ellis/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3618 VAUGHN T COOLMAN Examiner Art Unit 3618

/V. T. C./ Examiner, Art Unit 3618